

DIGITIZATION AND THE FUTURE OF WORK


**Melanie Arntz, Terry Gregory und Ulrich Zierahn
Centre for European Economic Research (ZEW)**

Luxemburg, 15.05.2018

Seminar on “L’avenir du travail: saisir les opportunités et accompagner les risques” on behalf of the Luxembourg Ministry for Labour, Employment and the Social and Solidarity Economy



Robotics, AI and Industry 4.0




[„Die Zeit“ Nr.5/23.01.2014]

End of work?



Der Spiegel, 3.9.2016

End of work?




Der Spiegel, 3.9.2016

End of work?



Der Spiegel, 3.9.2016




Der Spiegel, 17.4.1979

End of work?



Der Spiegel, 3.9.2016



Der Spiegel, 17.4.1979

Agenda


1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Study by Frey/Osborne (2017)


47% of US jobs are at risk of automation



Source: Frey/Osborne (2017). The future of employment: how susceptible are jobs to computerisation?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 254-280.

Study by Frey/Osborne (2017)

47% of US jobs are at risk of automation



Source: Frey/Osborne (2017). The future of employment: how susceptible are jobs to computerisation?. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 114, 254-280.

It all depends on the specific job

Occupation-level approach (Frey/Osborne)

- New technologies replace entire professions
- All employees in the same occupational group have the same risk


Job-level approach (Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn)

- Bundles of tasks vary not only between but also within professions
- Even employees in professions “at high risk” often perform tasks that are difficult to automate

=> Analyze automation risks on the level of jobs


Automation risks based on job-level approach

Only 9% of US jobs at risk of automation



Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2017): Revisiting the Risk of Automation, Economics Letters 159: 157-160.

Understanding the numbers



FO – Frey/Osborne

AGZ – Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn

BGZ – Bonin/Gregory/Zierahn

NQ – Nedelkoska/Quintini

DM – Dengler/Matthes

Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2017): Revisiting the Risk of Automation, Economics Letters 159: 157-160.

How threatened are those jobs?

Automation risks must not be equated with employment effects:

1. Slow diffusion of technologies
2. Adaptability of employees
3. Creation of new jobs

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Representative IAB-ZEW Labor Market 4.0 establishment survey

Survey conducted in May 2016


- 2032 CATI interviews with establishments (production managers/ firm owners)
- Service providers (67%) and producers (33%)

Content of questionnaire

- Relevance of new digital technologies (including 4.0 technologies)
- Degree of automation of work equipment
- Changes in labor demand (skills, tasks, competencies)
- Background characteristics (sales, profits, etc.)
- Information gathered for the presence (2016), past (before 5 year) and future (in 5 years)

Slow diffusion of 4.0 technologies


About half of German establishments use 4.0 technologies



Source: Arntz/Genz/Gregory/Janser/Lehmer/Matthes/Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.

Slow diffusion of 4.0 technologies


Low but increasing share of 4.0 technologies



Source: Arntz/Genz/Gregory/Janser/Lehmer/Matthes/Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.

Opportunities of 4.0 technologies


Perceptions of German establishments: The use of 4.0 technologies...



Source: Arntz/Genz/Gregory/Janser/Lehmer/Matthes/Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.

Challenges of 4.0 technologies

Perceptions of German establishments: The use of 4.0 technologies...



Source: Arntz/Genz/Gregory/Janser/Lehmer/Matthes/Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.

Digital divide between firms and workers

Leaders are larger, capital-intensive and more successful

Average values accross 2032 establishments	Technology Leaders	Technology Followers	Difference	
Number of employees	18.3	8.5	9.8	
Profits (in mil. Euros)	4.48	0.2	4.3	
Revenues (in mil. Euros)	49.7	5.9	43.8	Invested in 4.0 technologies between 2011 and 2016? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes: Leaders • No: Followers
Value added (in mil. Euros)	38.1	4.1	34	
Service provider (in %)	88.7	83.2	5.5	
Supplier of new technologies (in %)	11.5	3.5	8	
Capital stock (in mil. Euros)	2.91	1.85	1.1	
Share of entire work equipment				
1.0/2.0 technologies	50.6	58.8	-8.2	
Share of 3.0 technologies	41.2	36.5	4.7	
Share of 4.0 technologies	8.2	4.7	3.5	
Number of firms	711	1321		

Source: Arntz/Genz/Gregory/Janser/Lehmer/Matthes/Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.

Preliminary conclusion

- Slow but accelerating adoption of 4.0 technologies
- Different perceptions of opportunities and challenges
- Growing digital divide between establishments

Agenda


1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges


Transmission channels of new technologies

New technologies both destroy and create work



Positive net effect of digitization in Germany


Change in employment between 2011-2016 (in percent)



Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2018), Technology and the Future of Work: Aggregate Employment Effects of Digitization, unpublished manuscript.

Structural effects between occupational groups

Change in employment between 2011-2016 (in percent)




Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2018), Technology and the Future of Work: Aggregate Employment Effects of Digitization, unpublished manuscript.

Similar findings by other studies

- Wolter et al. (2015) - D
 - Restructuring of 60 thousand jobs by 2030 in favor of service and technical occupations
- Dorn et al. (2015) - US
 - No employment losses in local labor markets with routine intensive jobs
- Gregory, Salomons, Zierahn (2016) - EU
 - Technological progress between 1999 and 2010 led to an increase in labor demand
- Acemoglu und Restrepo (2017) - US
 - Employment losses in regions with a strong use of industrial robots
- Dauth et al. (2017) - D
 - No evidence that robots cause total job losses; Rather restructuring from manufacturing to service sector


Scenarios for the next 5 years

Small positive employment effects expected for 2016-2021



Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2018), Technology and the Future of Work: Aggregate Employment Effects of Digitization, unpublished manuscript.

Growing wage and employment polarization



Source: Arntz/Gregory/Zierahn (2018), Technology and the Future of Work: Aggregate Employment Effects of Digitization, unpublished manuscript.

Preliminary conclusion

- New technologies both destroy and create work
- Small positive net effects of digitization
- Strong structural effects with changes in work content
- Growing wage and employment polarization

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Agenda

1. The risk of automation
2. The diffusion of technology
3. The impact of the digital revolution on labor markets
 - Transmission channels of new technologies
 - Total employment effects
 - Structural effects and inequality
4. Policy challenges

Policy challenges

1. Education and training policies
 - All levels of education
 - Higher education, vocational education and training
 - Lifelong Learning
2. Labour market policies
 - Public and private employment agencies
 - Non-standard work
3. Income and tax policies
 - Income policies
 - Tax policies
4. Technology regulation policies

Thank you for your attention

Contact details

Dr. Terry Gregory

Centre for European Economic Research (ZEW)

Tel. +49 621 1235-306

Email: gregory@zew.de

Internet: www.zew.de/MA565

Selected references

- Arntz, Genz, Gregory, Janser, Lehmer, Matthes and Zierahn (2018), Technology and Jobs in the Fourth Industrial Revolution - Firm-Level Evidence, unpublished manuscript.
- Arntz, Gregory and Zierahn (2018), Technology and the Future of Work: Aggregate Employment Effects of Digitization, unpublished manuscript.
- Arntz, Gregory, and Zierahn (2018), [Digitalisierung und die Zukunft der Arbeit: Makroökonomische Auswirkungen auf Beschäftigung, Arbeitslosigkeit und Löhne von morgen](#). ZEW Forschungsbericht.
- Arntz, Gregory and Zierahn (2017), [Revisiting the Risk of Automation](#), Economics Letters 159: 157-160.
- Arntz, Gregory, Jansen and Zierahn (2016), [Tätigkeitswandel und Weiterbildungsbedarf in der digitalen Transformation](#), IAB , ZEW, acatech.
- Bonin, Gregory and Zierahn (2015). [Übertragung der Studie von Frey/Osborne \(2013\) auf Deutschland](#). No. 57. ZEW Kurzexpertise.
- Gregory, Salomons and Zierahn (2016). [Racing With or Against the Machine? Evidence from Europe](#), ZEW Discussion Paper, No. 16-053.